Student Assignments: Evaluation Form
Center for Cognitive and Decision Sciences

Bachelor thesis: ☐   Master thesis: ☐

Title: *XXX*

Candidate's name: XXX

Submitted on: XX.XX.XXXX

Supervisor: XXX

Evaluator: XXX

Overall grade: X.X

The overall grade does not have to correspond to the subscore average

Basel,   XX.XX.XX

Signature

Structure & Language

o Work structured coherently (common thread, section transitions, etc.)? Is the division into sections and subsections satisfactory?
o Does the work read fluently?
o Work is linguistically appropriate (phrasing and word choice)?

o Technical terms explained and used appropriately?
o Appropriate appendices attached?

Subscore: X.X

Formalities

o Formal design of the work consistently APA-compliant?
o Use of Faculty of Psychology template, including statement of integrity?

o Documentation of the use of AI?

Subscore: X.X

Introduction & main section

o Good title?
o Summary (abstract) understandable and informative?
o Research question clearly defined?
o Relevance of research question clearly explained?
o Work embedded in the literature?
o Claims substantiated with appropriate references? Cited literature complete, relevant, up-to-date & "reputable"?
o Theories appropriately considered and applied?

o Technical terms/theoretical constructs explained and used appropriately?
o Critical reflection of extant work?
o Suitable presentation of related work and findings?
o Clear relevance of the cited theories and studies to the research question?
o Logical derivation of the research hypotheses?

Subscore: X.X

Methods

- Adequate documentation of method(s)?
- Appropriate paradigm/design? Internal validity?
- Quality of operationalization?
- Inclusion of control variables?
- Application of scientific standards (e.g., CONSORT, PRISMA) and open science?

- If applicable: Compliance with ethical guidelines (e.g., informed consent)

Subscore: X.X

Results

- Adequate data analysis (only necessary tests, adequate interpretation of statistical procedures, etc.)?
- Quality of presentation of the methods and statistical procedures used?
- Results presented appropriately and embedded in the text flow?

- Figures and tables used sensibly and presented appropriately?
- Complexity and difficulty of the analyses?

Subscore: X.X

Discussion

- Good summary of main motivation for the research and main findings?
- Results embedded in extant literature and findings?
- Claims substantiated with appropriate references? Cited literature complete, relevant, up-to-date & "reputable"?
- Linkage of research and findings with extant theory?
- Evidence of critical reflection on the current research and findings?

- Possible limitations of the work appropriately discussed?
- Reflection on future directions and implications of the current work for research and practice?
- "Rounded" (not abrupt) conclusion? Take-home-message clear?

Subscore: X.X

Integrative performance

- Does the work consist of a series of summaries or are integrative aspects apparent?

Subscore: X.X

Autonomy & effort

- Contribution of own ideas (e.g., to generation of hypotheses, methods)?
- Autonomy in literature search?

- Effort?

Subscore: X.X

Comments